

Google Voice archived cache from Bing:

<https://productforums.google.com/forum/#!topic/voice/NYRy5U31o98/discussion>

NOTE: Google's cache and Bing's cache appear to show two different versions of the same Dave the Community Manager's post. Bing's includes the phrase "and should work with many third party solutions" whereas Google's does not. Bing has the original version!

Update regarding XMPP Interop Capabilities

Showing 1-118 of 118 messages

<u>Update regarding XMPP Interop Capabilities</u>	Aaron G. - Product Support Manager	4/27/18 9:02 PM	Starting on June 18, 2018, we will finish migrating the last of our XMPP interop capabilities for Google Voice to the new Voice platform. This migration will enable us to create powerful new VoIP features that will both benefit and delight Voice users.
			If you use Google Voice with a supported device using the XMPP interop capability, please contact your vendor to determine the best migration path to avoid a service disruption.
<u>Re: Update regarding XMPP Interop Capabilities</u>	Ryan Garoogian	4/28/18 12:40 PM	Great news I can't wait to see new features to Google Voice!
<u>Update regarding XMPP Interop Capabilities</u>	Beaker Ben	4/28/18 3:57 PM	Fantastic! Is this related to RCS?
<u>Update regarding XMPP Interop Capabilities</u>	thatsteveguy	4/28/18 5:08 PM	Soooo, Does this mean Obihai devices will stop working? No, all current OBiTALK products will continue to function.
<u>Re: Update regarding XMPP Interop Capabilities</u>	Bluescat	4/28/18 5:29 PM	You can read my full announcement on the OBiTALK support forum: http://www.obitalk.com/forum/index.php?topic=13824.0
<u>Re: Update regarding XMPP Interop Capabilities</u>	Megadave1024	4/29/18 3:02 AM	Please post OBiTALK-related questions or comments over on their forum. Thanks! Hopefully they will finally migrate the remaining settings/options that one currently has to go into the "legacy" google voice interface into the NEW interface. The ability to choose contact groups for which calls are

(or are not) forwarded for,

<https://productforums.google.com/forum/#!topic/voice/B83qcojEMq8>

Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities

Cheese Mouse 4/29/18 11:10 AM

Aaron, will the Google Voice team make available the necessary technical information so that users of Simon Telephonics Google Voice Gateway and hobbyist VoIP users (Asterisk, FreeSWITCH) can implement the custom SIP **Interop** necessary to keep using Google Voice through their platforms?

Google Voice is not a freeware, public domain, open source service. Google isn't going to release any "necessary technical information". There is no expectation that any platforms other than Polycom hardware will be supported. In theory, you could use an OBiTALK device as a gateway.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities

Bluescat

4/29/18 2:12 PM

<http://productforums.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/QLuPrF-bBwAJ>

(unknown)

4/29/18 2:32 PM

<This message has been deleted.>

When can do any of the following:

call out of google voice using my wifi only android tablet because currently I am beta testing the wifi call out/in functions and I can not do this. It actively hides the option on my nexus 7 tablet, FORCING me to use hangouts with hangouts dialer to receive calls and place calls.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities

nexus15

4/29/18 8:25 PM

Send a google voice message using google assistant with the phrase "ok google send a voice message to [name] [message]" this was said as 'coming' a while back

view google voice messages on my android wear watch like I can with sms in the android messages app

accept vcard files and audio messages over sms/mms

use RCS in google voice, irrespective of when the carrier my device operates on (att in this case -sigh-) gets on board.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities

Bluescat

4/29/18 8:58 PM

Thanks for the hard work so far!
Nexus15: this is an announcement post, specifically and only related to the deprecation of **XMPP** protocol support. If you have other questions, please start your

own discussion by clicking the red button here in the forum. Thanks!
Hi Everyone,

I'd like to hop in here and clarify: Now that the OBi devices have been updated with the new firmware, they are fully compatible. This means you can use both WiFi calling and and OBi devices.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Dave - Community Manager 4/30/18 9:27 AM Additionally, at a higher level, the new Google Voice VoIP service is standards compliant and should work with many third party solutions.

I hope this helps!

Dave - Google Voice Team

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) kennethrc 4/30/18 12:14 PM FWIW, I've been able to view my GV SMS via Android Wear for years now, and I've got the original LG G-Watch even.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) VoIP user 5/1/18 2:41 PM Dave: When you say standards compliant, which standards are you talking about? For example, will you support the standard SIP protocol, so that SIP-based devices or softphones can connect?

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Pufferty 5/1/18 5:49 PM Really sad to see this forced obsolescence on the part of Obihai.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Pufferty 5/1/18 5:51 PM We hope so, but that would put a big dent in Obihai's business, so given their partnership, I'm guessing no.

There is no "forced obsolescence" at all. None.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Bluescat 5/1/18 5:56 PM Every current Polycom (formerly Obihai) 200 series, 1000 series and 2000 series device will work with Google Voice...every single one. If you are complaining about the 100 series, that is very old news, and there is no point in re-hashing it...those devices have been long-since discontinued and they are no longer supported by the manufacturer.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Pufferty 5/1/18 6:03 PM This statement:

There is no "forced obsolescence" at all. None.

And this statement:

If you are complaining about the 100 series, that is very old news, and there is no point in re-hashing it...those devices have been long-since discontinued and they are no longer

			supported by the manufacturer.
			Simply aren't consistent. Discontinuation and lack of support of devices which have been proven to work (via hacked firmware no less) is the very definition of forced obsolescence.
			You are making a pointless argument, and your issue has been discussed to death on the OBiTALK forum. The 100 series went away. Gone. No longer supported. It's no different than trying to make a 1970s television work with the current digital TV format. No consumer electronics gizmo is guaranteed to be supported forever.
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	Bluescat	5/1/18 6:10 PM	
<u>http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/h7d2xWq3AgAI</u>	VoIP user	5/2/18 4:09 AM	<This message has been deleted.>
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	Pufferty	5/2/18 4:59 AM	@Voip User, you stated it better than I could have. I venture to guess that the intellectual dishonesty is peddled in order to remain in the good graces of Obi for the right to continue beta testing goodies. The TV analogy is a laughably poor one, and makes me question just how familiar this exalted beta tester is with the actual products being tested.
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	Cheese Mouse	5/2/18 5:07 AM	Let's try to keep it on topic... the third party XMPP connectivity going away and possibility of opening up SIP connectivity. Hate for this thread to get closed due to bantering and attacking.
<u>Is Sprint the only carrier that supports integration</u>	Sirous Jafari	5/2/18 5:13 AM	<This message has been deleted.>
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	VoIP user	5/2/18 9:35 AM	Well I'm glad someone got to see that post before it was summarily deleted. I hate forums where one person gets favored treatment. Apparently Bluescat can say anything he wants, even if it's not entirely accurate, but if you try to refute what he says your post gets removed.
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	VoIP user	5/2/18 9:40 AM	My post that got removed was on topic - I said that the older Obihai OBi1xx devices are perfectly capable of supporting XMPP , because the third-party firmware enables it. So there is nothing in the hardware per se that prevents that support, unlike analog televisions which had no digital tuners. Therefore, one has to question why Obihai decided to declare those devices obsolete, and whether the same thing could happen again with the OBi2xx series.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#)

Bluescat

5/2/18 9:50 AM

Presumably if those devices can work with **XMPP**, they could also be made to use whatever protocol will be in effect after the end of June. Obihai just chooses not to do it, which is a lot different than being unable to do it.

You are flogging a dead horse. The 100 product line was introduced some ten years ago, and discontinued a couple of years ago. It's simply no longer supported. Assuming you paid \$40 for it, it has been fully amortized over many years, at a tiny per-year cost. Buy a 200-series product and be happy. If you wait a while, Polycom may put them on sale, just for folks in your position.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#)

Pufferty

5/2/18 9:58 AM

My 10-year old \$1500 ThinkPad stopped working last fall, with the last Windows 10 **update**, because its graphics adapter is no longer supported. Should Lenovo keep spending money supporting old hardware? If you want to discuss this, do it over on the OBiTALK forum. Nobody here can do anything about it.

Leaving aside the illogic you repeated yet again, I wanted to flatly ask: are you officially associated with Obihai? Why was VoIP user's post deleted? What I want to know is, why are you allowed to say things like "You are making a pointless argument..." or "You are flogging a dead horse..." and if someone tries to refute you, their post gets removed? Why do you think you should be able to say whatever you want in this thread, even if it has nothing to do

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#)

VoIP user

5/2/18 10:02 AM

with **XMPP Interop Capabilities** and everything to do with trying to defend what owners of those older Obihai devices might consider a poor business decision on Obihai's part (and one that they could potentially repeat again with the 200-series)?

More to the point, why on earth would I ever want to buy one of their 200-series products, when I know that any day now they could declare that series obsolete and try to force users to upgrade to yet a newer series? Fool me once...

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#)

gale_s

5/3/18 6:53 AM

Will this have any effect on Cloud Printing as it uses **XMPP** with a persistent connection to talk.google.com ?

<http://productforum>

wardmundy

5/6/18 2:25 PM

<This message has been deleted.>

s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/UeUIkFUTBAAI

Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities wardmundy 5/8/18 8:37 AM

My post also got deleted apparently for mentioning that there were thousands of Google Voice users not employing Obi devices that would be severely impacted by this change. One can only assume that Google really must not care.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities Cheese Mouse 5/8/18 11:16 AM

@Dave - Could you share the process that third parties would use to partner with Google on the Google Voice SIP service? I gather from @Bluescat that the technology is not going to be shared publicly so there must be a way to work with Google on it? thanks.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP VoIP user 5/9/18 12:01 PM
PInteropCapabilities

Google, have you really thought this through? Right now there are probably a large number of people using Google Voice but either using an older Obihai device, or some other **XMPP**-based connection method. My guess is that only a very small number of those people will buy new Obihai devices, partly because we don't know if or when Obihai will pull support for the current Obihai devices, but also because the way Obihai has treated their existing customers has not left them with many glowing recommendations. So what are all those existing users going to do if and when **XMPP** connections no longer work? Well, my guess is that many of them will simply forward their Google Voice numbers to their cellphones or to another type of PSTN-based line, such as a landline or a VoIP number. And the problem with that is that while you can complete calls via **XMPP** (or your new protocol, if you release enough technical information) all day at virtually no cost, when calls are sent to the PSTN they incur "terminating charges" that need to be paid to whatever phone company "owns" the number that the calls are being forwarded to. Granted they are very small amounts for each individual call, but if a lot of users wind up forwarding their Google Voice numbers to PSTN numbers, the terminating charges for all those calls could start to add up.

I don't know if Obihai is paying you anything to get some kind of exclusive arrangement here, but if they are I wonder if it will really offset what you will need to pay each month for all the additional PSTN

terminating charges you're likely to incur. And nobody that's currently using **XMPP** really wants their calls going through the PSTN, but many (probably most) of them will go that route rather than lose their incoming calls, or get on a possible treadmill of buying new devices only to see them declared obsolete and unsupported down the road, and having to spend even more money each time their current device is arbitrarily declared obsolete.

You obviously plan on continuing the Google Voice service for some time to come, so why not make it easy for people to connect with the service in ways that don't cost you money? Maybe **XMPP** has had its day in the sun, but now you have something better - that is fine, but please release enough technical information so that others can connect, without having to deal with the one company that some of us refuse to buy from ever again, or alternately face the necessity of having our Google Voice calls sent to us through the PSTN. It's your service to run as you see fit, but why incur additional costs if you don't have to?

[Re: UpdateregardingXMPP](#) RBC_Boy
[PInteropCapabilities](#)

5/12/18 1:10 AM

This Question is Specifically for Aarog G. ,
Product Support Manager, Google

- 1) Is OBi (Polycom) the exclusive Google Voice hardware partner?
- 2) Is Bluecat an employee of Google or Authorized to speak or act on behalf of Google
- 3) If Bluecat happens to be a moderator in this forum, who is supervising Bluecat as Bluecat is provide inaccurate and totally false information in order to force users to buy new OBi/Polycom device
- 4) Bluecat has been deleting very relevant information posted buy other users such as "VOIP User)
- 5) It is very clear that Bluecat in ONLY acting on the best interest of Obi/Polycom so why would google allow Bluecat to moderate this forum?
- 6) Google does not require its user to buy a certain Brand and more importantly a Newer Model of PC or Smartphone to use YouTube or Chrome or any of its other services. Hence why is Bluecat insisting that people buy newer OBi devices when the Hardware of Older Models are fully capable of handling the new protocol with updated

software?

Aaron, I believe the above practices of Bluecat clearly violates the policies, standards and protocol of Google in relations its product and service support; therefore I request you to take the appropriate remedial actions to avoid any damage to google.

If this post is deleted, I will forward a copy of this post to the Google Senior Management; this is not Obitalk forum so I warn Bluecat not to delete this post.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) wardmundy 5/12/18 6:41 AM

An Open Letter to Google: Don't Do It! <http://nerdvittles.com/?p=25787>
You're saying: "Google isn't going to release any "necessary technical information".
" This directly contradicts with what Dave said below - "Additionally, at a higher level, the new Google Voice VoIP service is standards compliant and should work with many third party solutions." Which is it? If Google won't release info, how can it work with many third party solutions?
Please explain what VoIP means.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) Fred_The_Mis er 5/14/18 12:29 PM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) Admin Emerald-Fox 5/14/18 1:29 PM

@Admin Emerald-Fox

Please explain what VoIP means.

VoIP - Voice Over Internet Protocol

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) John of Lower Merion 5/14/18 2:26 PM

In the simplest terms, it is the conversion of analog sound to digital format so it can be transmitted over the Internet, received at a destination where it is converted back to an analog format to drive a speaker and be listened to.

If you want more details, search the Internet. Here's a link to get you started:

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) CosmicTwister 5/15/18 6:28 AM

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice over IP](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Voice_over_IP)

Looks like I'll have to switch everything back to Windows and Microsoft. Good bye google

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) Caylin Ainsley 5/15/18 5:51 PM

This question has nothing to do with the topic being discussed, however since your title refers you as community manager, I need an answer to reseting Google Voice capability to read media & ring tone files. Presently the notifications selection is grayed & not giving an option to choose a

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) steve54301 5/15/18 10:28 PM sound file for either a message or incoming call. Everything worked fine, up till two days ago when I updated several of my Gmail accounts with my Google #. I don't have a laptop to use to adjust the settings, only my mobile phone. I have turned off do not disturb, disconnected hangouts, upgraded the system software, & updated GV, is there any other way to fix this without uninstalling GV & starting all over? Second if doing a reinstall is there a away to export archived voicemail, call logs to Gmail intact with call times & dates? To your success in your reply. Thanks, Caylin Lots of contradictory info as usual from google. Thought googles motto was "don't be evil". Even that is open for interpretation. Is Bluescat a google employee? Would be nice if someone from google would clarify how folks can connect without **xmpp**, and without buying hardware. The Dave guy who is with google says its standards compliant. When Alphabet was formed, Google did away with their "Don't Be Evil" mantra. Perhaps now we know why. :-)
[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) wardmundy 5/17/18 9:33 AM I'm actually glad to see this now. I've been wondering when (Don't be fooled -- it's *always* been a matter of *when*) this was going to happen, and so it has. No big deal at all. I'm porting my numbers. Now.
[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) Fred InNMB 5/17/18 11:39 AM To be clear. Will I be able to port my existing GV number out from GV to another carrier?
[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) Dina Erante 5/18/18 6:46 AM I'd like to add my voice here, to the attention of the Google Product Managers in the room:
[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) J9999zzzz 5/18/18 7:42 AM

I bought an OBi 110 in 2013 and have been using it with Google Voice ever since, except for several months (perhaps longer) a couple of years ago when something changed in the back-end system that made GV and OBi temporarily incompatible.

Now it's happening again, and apparently this time it's permanent.

I've read all of Blue Scat's arguments here and on the OBi Forum (where he has berated me for expressing my opinion, so I don't need him to respond to me here) about having already gotten my money's worth on the OBi 110 and urging me to buy an OBi 200-whatever.

I disagree. It's up to me to decide how long I expect something I buy to last. Agreed, nothing lasts forever, but I don't happen to think that I should have to replace every appliance and piece of tech in my home every 5 years. My refrigerator should last for 15 or 20 years. I bought my stereo and speakers in 1987, and it still works perfectly. My toilets will outlast me. Granted, the televisions I bought in college are not compatible with modern DTV standards, but the FCC gave me more than a 5-year warning about DTV, and I was able to get a free converter box (by way of a government-issued rebate, if I recall correctly) to help ease the transition for a couple of years. My VCRs and my cassette players are kind of useless, but moving to DVDs and CDs was an advance in the quality of video and sound and not just a sideways step forced by obsolescence.

So yes, I do expect my OBi 110 to have lasted more than 5 years with Google Voice. And no, I'm not going to spend another \$97.05 plus tax on an OBi 212, because how do I know that *it* won't become "unsupported" and incompatible with Google Voice 12 months from now, if Google makes yet another change to its protocols.

This is why there are standards. As an independent company, Google is free to ignore standards, but doing so isn't quite in line with "do no evil."

Goggle should continue to support XMPP, even if only for existing Google Voice accounts that already use the service. OBi should provide a patch for the OBi 110 firmware, even if only because it's the right thing to do.

I blame Google here for retiring a standard protocol that has been in place for many years and that many consumers had come to rely on. **I blame OBi** for not updating the OBi 110 firmware and, especially, for their "pony up or get lost" attitude, which is just wrong -- especially after they made so much hay out of being fully compatible with and approved by Google Voice a few years ago after the first protocol-change-related service interruption. And, **I blame**

myself for having trusted OBi to do the right thing.

I am so freakin' angry. SO. FREAKIN'. ANGRY.

I own a perfectly good stagecoach. I love the way it drives. I drive it past gas stations and I actually, literally LOL because I never have to give them any money or wait in those ridiculous lines at Costco. Other than the cost of hay and boarding my horses and the vet visits I'm getting free transportation. How smart is that? You know what I spent on windshield wiper blades and alloy wheel cleaner and those little green Christmas tree air freshener things in the last twelve months? That's right. \$38.27. Actually I like to hang those air freshners all around my house because I like the way they smell so I do buy a lot of those.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities DrJay

5/18/18 3:21 PM

Oh sure, I get wet whenever I get caught in a rainstorm and I get kicked by the horses a lot and I get a lot of tickets for dropping horse poop around town but I really, REALLY want to squeeze maximum ROI out of my investment. Actually my great-grandfather's investment but he paid almost \$40 for this thing (second-hand) in 1827 which would be like \$377,644,993 in 2018 dollars if my abacus is accurate. I know, right?

Anyway, why I'm angry, what ha' happened wuz, the manufacturer stopped making parts for my model and all of the third-party parts manufacturers have all gone the way of the horse and bu.... well what I mean is they've switched to making parts for things like blenders and uranium enriching centrifuges now because of "profit" and "staying in business." So much B.S. Planned obsolescence, that's what this is. Plain and simple.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP J9999zzzz
PInteropCapabilities

5/18/18 3:40 PM

Anyway... thanks for letting me vent here. Jay,
The snark is not really necessary. If you bought your vehicle 4 years ago when I bought my OBi 110, then you would have reason to be upset. Nobody's talking about a "stagecoach" here, or even a rotary phone from the 1970s. We're talking about a modern electronic internet appliance from

the middle of the 2010's. Expecting a piece of electronics to last more than a few years is not the least bit unreasonable. I have an HP calculator that I bought in 1981 that's still perfectly usable. Sure, I can't still do much with my TRS-80 from the same year, but if your 2014 Dell laptop or the Netgear wifi router that you bought around the time I bought my OBi 110 were already useless, I'd bet you'd feel quite justified to complain about it.

The point is that OBi technically COULD **update** the firmware to be compatible with Google's new standard.

They've just chose not to. Your "stagecoach" cannot be made useful in 2018; my OBi can. This is not death by old age.

It's death by purposeful neglect.

Perhaps OBi should make it clearer in their advertisements that when you buy one of their products, you're not really buying it.

You're sort of renting it, and one day in the not-too-distant future, you're going to get evicted.

P.S. Jay, how does an answer like yours, which adds absolutely nothing to the conversation, get marked as a "best answer"? And how does it get "best answer" status within minutes of being posted? Did you mark it yourself, in your capacity as a Community Expert? If so, I would suggest that doing so is a highly inappropriate use of your Community Expert status and that you should perhaps reconsider.

I have no desire to be drawn into a flame war here, so I've had my last say. I hope my contribution reaches the collective conscience of the product management teams at Google and OBi.

I have Obihai #202 and have lost connection with google, I have removed device from obitalk, went through a total hard reset of the device, remove app access from google, remove "googleTalk" from linked phones. reinstalled device on ObiTalk and continue to get "Connect Failed: No Response" for google voice status. I go back into google voice and to legacy settings and instead of the "new" device to show up, it again shows googletalk?? I have tried to do this 5 times with same outcome. I think my account has slipped through the cracks.

John Morrow: Your life sounds so very terrible.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#) J9999zzzz 5/18/18 3:46 PM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#) The Schallers 5/18/18 5:35 PM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#) DrJay 5/19/18 4:57 AM

I don't think that anyone in this forum could possibly imagine how you must feel.

Peace.

<http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/FPOFR68BDAAJ>

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities**

Pufferty

5/19/18 8:03 PM

<This message has been deleted.>

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities**

Abid Butt

5/20/18 5:18 PM

DrJay, please delete that last message. There is no place for ad hominem attacks here. This is a help forum, not a schoolyard.

We have 2 devices that are doing the exact same thing. We have done multiple resets with the same result. I think we are caught in the middle of googles migration of software.

We are also now experiencing calls from 123456789 and calls that say test on caller ID but we still can't make or get calls.. and still google voice legacy settings still keep saying googlechat. Can someone please help, and stop jamming up this forum with nonsense.

Again:

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities**

The schallers

5/20/18 5:49 PM

This was only intended to be an announcement of the impending shutdown of the **XMPP** infrastructure.

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities**

Bluescat

5/20/18 5:56 PM

If you have a specific problem with an OBiTALK device, please post your issue on the OBiTALK forum,

here: <http://www.obitalk.com/forum/index.php?board=12.0>

So, if it's going to be "Standards Compliant," and using "Standard Protocols" where is the documentation? I currently use <https://simonics.com/gw> to talk to my desk phones (Panasonic KX-TGP600 and handsets). Will that just break on 6/18?

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities**

Larry
Rosenman

5/20/18 8:11 PM

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities**

VoIP user

5/21/18 3:40 AM

Regarding DrJay's "Stagecoach" post, it's a ridiculous analogy for two reasons: First, the heyday of stagecoaches was over a hundred years ago, back before there was any such thing as consumer electronics. If our VoIP adapters were THAT old I'd expect them to be obsolete by now, but they aren't. And second, I notice he mentioned "stagecoach" rather than the usual "horse and buggy" analogy, because if he's said that, people might have made the connection that buggies and stagecoaches can still be used, you just have to be in the right kind of community (hint: Weird Al had a parody

song about one). Seems like for some reason Google is trying to become one of those upper crust snob communities that looks down on people who can't afford to buy brand new every two or three years, or who don't like to pollute the planet by throwing out perfectly good electronic devices.

Heck, as I type this I am listening to music coming through a stereo amplifier that is so old it has no integrated circuitry at all, just discrete transistors and other components, and the music sounds just as sweet on it as on anything modern. I did have to replace a bad capacitor in it a few months ago, but that's the only issue it's had. Electronic devices are not like bananas, they don't age and become rotten just because a short amount of time has passed. The Obihai shill can create as many accounts as he wants in order to try and defend their actions, but in the end it's all just a money grab, and I really wish Google would think long and hard about why they are going along with it, since it sullies their reputation too.

And I'll bet you honestly think it's not primarily your fault that this has taken the direction it has. You need to learn not to bully and insult people, and not to censor posts that disagree with you.

I have scanned thru all the responses here and I still have not seen the answer to my question and a couple of others.. will google voice still work with Simon Telephonics after the June 18th deadline or not? That is who I go thru because why have yet another ip device sitting on my desk. Thanks!
Eric, you will need to ask Bill Simon.

He reports news about it at <http://simonics.com/news/>

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) VoIP user 5/21/18 3:45 AM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) Eric Schweers 5/22/18 6:33 AM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) Red Leatherman 5/22/18 7:13 AM

http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/Ot_FXlF9CwAl Sean.R 5/22/18 8:09 AM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#) bill_jackson 5/22/18 10:54 AM

<This message has been deleted.>

My question has nothing to do with OBI (I trashed my first OBI device when it broke the first time) but with the **XMPP** gateway in Asterisk that I, and a number of people I know, use for their GV lines. they currently use the **XMPP** protocol but if Google wants to deprecate it, that's their business. I get it.

But they can't also be the self proclaimed open standards supporting people if they don't publish the SIP or other interfaces so that the people who build the Google/Motif gateway for Asterisk can make their product work again.

Imagine if Google were to tell the world that their email service, like Gmail, only worked on Android phones and through Chrome web interfaces? This is in essence what they're doing with GV.

I'm a LONG time GV user, I remember getting my number back when you had to find someone willing to send you an invitation before Google bought the company. But if Google doesn't publish ways for other people to connect to their service other than Polycom/Obi, I'll be done with it. But then again, maybe that's what they want.

Bill,

I don't recall Google offering information in the past when we were using Gizmo or Sipsorcery with Google Voice, I don't know of any documentation from Google about setting up Asterisk and Google doesn't offer any information about using Google Voice with Obihai products.

You can contact the developer of Asterisk if you have questions about compatibility with Google Voice.

But somewhere there was documentation of how to use the **XMPP** connections to get to Google Voice. The developers of the Google Voice/Motif (Chan_motif) connection in Asterisk/FreePBX had to know how to connect in the first place.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#) Red
[PInteropCapabilities](#) Leatherman

5/22/18 12:22 PM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#) bill_jackson
[PInteropCapabilities](#)

5/22/18 12:35 PM

I'm not asking GV to do the work, the Asterisk community will surely take up that challenge. I'm assuming that the Obi folks somehow got documentation of how the new voice service works. I'm asking that they release it to the Asterisk community. But one thing is for sure. if GV provides no documentation this connection won't get done.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#) bill_jackson
[PInteropCapabilities](#)

5/22/18 12:37 PM

For example, a quick search found this:

https://developers.google.com/talk/jep_extensions/extensions

that describes the **XMPP** extensions that Google uses for voice. To the Google Voice team (Dave, the author of the best answer) will you be providing similar documentation to the new voice services or is that now proprietary to the Obi devices?

What new "VoIP **features** that will both benefit and delight Voice users" will be added in the future? So far I've seen the useful conferencing feature being removed and heard of the useful recording feature possibly being removed in the future due to supposed "incompatibilities" with the new system which isn't very beneficial or delightful to me or many other Voice users. Hi,

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#) funnyboy240 5/24/18 2:24 AM

Everything was working since last week and now I am unable to make outbound international calls using GV and obihai 202. It says "we could not complete the call please try later". I do have enough credit. I also see the option for forward to Google chat disappear in google account and it only shows forward to obihai talk device. I am able to call and receive domestic US calls. This issue is with when I make a call using telephone handset but it works when I use GV app on my smartphone. Please help!
- URGENT - "Could not complete your call please try again."

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#) Nick5250 5/24/18 8:00 AM

All inbound calls are not connecting suddenly! Getting message "Could not complete your call please try again." Is this related to this **update**?! Also I had a Obi200 that I just disconnected and had to reset and delete too. No calls are coming through. Please help!

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#) loobah 5/24/18 1:45 PM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#) noman from ec 5/24/18 3:36 PM

Hi everyone - When GV becomes VoIP-compliant in mid-June 2018, does that mean Google Voice can be used on any SIP ATA (such as an old Linksys Sipura SPA2100)? I understand Obihai (200 and up) devices will work with Google Voice. I was just wondering if I have to upgrade from my Obi100 if I have an old SPA-2100 in my drawer.... To clarify, I'm interested in a completely Google Voice-only solution, rather than using the GV number to forward to a paid service.

Thank you!

http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/hzA52m4EAQA	Todd Cory	5/24/18 4:51 PM	<This message has been deleted.>
http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/n7EmD5EEAQA	Todd Cory	5/24/18 4:53 PM	<This message has been deleted.>
http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/Wn7fApgEAQA	Todd Cory	5/24/18 4:54 PM	<This message has been deleted.>
http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/l10TwaQEAQA	Todd Cory	5/24/18 4:54 PM	<This message has been deleted.>
http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/LkdiVsYEAQA	Todd Cory	5/24/18 4:57 PM	<This message has been deleted.>
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMPPInteropCapabilities</u>	loobah	5/24/18 5:56 PM	Update. I reset and reinstalled my OBiTalk and my google voice service was restored.
http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/_OP2Nlq5AAA	Mango456	5/24/18 8:02 PM	<This message has been deleted.>
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMPPInteropCapabilities</u>	steve54301	5/26/18 11:15 PM	@wardmundy My earlier reply no longer exists as well. Apparently google has issues with hypocrisy... I had referenced their old moto of don't be evil.
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMPPInteropCapabilities</u>	GiGIsjm13	5/27/18 4:39 PM	Help me, please! I need a video voice mail privacy call other than Hangouts. I know that cannot be the only. HELP ME, PLEASE! Family too curious!
http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/5x8og-ChAQA	Larry Rosenman	5/27/18 7:03 PM	<This message has been deleted.>
<u>UpdateregardingXMPPInteropCapabilities</u>	James Lee 2332	5/27/18 11:40 PM	Is this a possible security breach ?
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMPPInteropCapabilities</u>	Time4Movem ent	5/28/18 7:28 PM	Google Voice is not a freeware, public domain, open source service. Google isn't going to release any "necessary technical information".
			No one is asking for source code, a self hosted version, or any of the like. What we

ARE asking for is a non-proprietary way to use a service that will continue existing after a specific date and has been available to us whether in production or development for over 10+ years without having to worry about paying ONE provider (ObiTalk [by means of purchasing a device]). If we have a system that is already SIP enabled and configured, there is absolutely no reason to restrict use.

As a person who utilizes both an ObiTalk for both of my home lines, AND 2 Asterisk based systems for my small businesses, there is absolutely NO REASON. I shouldn't have to buy another OBITALK for my businesses to access Google Voice, an account we've had since it was originally incepted. It would be an absolutely tragic end for most GV users, including myself with several Google accounts that utilize the power of Google Voice.

I would absolutely LOVE to see this post (as I've seen many others) get deleted. There is NOTHING here that would be considered against any rules posted on Google's terms. So there is no reason to delete this. Google would make a HUGE mistake by restricting it to one provider. If any one from Google can see this. You would be making a HUGE mistake. Don't do it.

Posts aren't deleted unless they violate the forum rules and usually that is because of hateful words and content. another common reason is spam.

Buescat and I are not allowed to delete posts, only Google employees can delete posts.

Google did not develop the obi, Obihai developed the obi device
Google did not stop Obihai from developing the obi device nor is Google preventing Obihai from updating their firmware.

If you want to develop some sort of device to use with Google Voice, then do so.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP Red
PInteropCapabilities Leatherman 5/29/18 4:30 AM

<http://productforum.s.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/USxyGJMSAgAJ> (unknown)

5/29/18 5:29 AM <This message has been deleted.>

Re: UpdateregardingXMP bill_jackson
PInteropCapabilities

5/29/18 5:32 AM "Google did not develop the obi, Obihai developed the obi device
Google did not stop Obihai from developing

the obi device nor is Google preventing Obihai from updating their firmware."

But google did provide OBI with some kind of documentation that told them how to connect to the new service, what interfaces to use and how to authenticate with it.

"If you want to develop some sort of device to use with Google Voice, then do so."

I think that's what everyone is asking, how do I do this?

So a straight up yes/no question for the Google people and you Mr. Leatherman.

Will Google provide documentation on the new "industry standard" interfaces that are being used by GV so that those who have connected to it in the past through **XMP** can connect tomorrow?
> Posts aren't deleted unless they violate the forum rules and usually that is because of hateful words and content. another common reason is spam.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#)

Mango456

5/29/18 8:09 AM

My deleted posts definitely did not have hateful words or content, and they weren't spam. In the future it would be useful to receive a notice containing the reason why a post was removed, if only so that I can avoid the same in the future.

>Posts aren't deleted unless they violate the forum rules and usually that is because of hateful words and content. another common reason is spam.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#)

Pufferty

5/29/18 8:42 AM

Then why were mine and Ward's? And at the same time, how is DrJay's stagecoach post which is one big, flawed attempt at sarcasm, pinned as the *best* answer at the top of this thread?

Because Dr. Jay pinned himself as the best answer.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#)

bill_jackson

5/29/18 9:00 AM

Requests for some kind of statement from the google crowd on their position of anything but OBI devices connecting to GV receive no responses.

Or maybe Dave from the Google Voice team (the best answer) and Aaron G the product manager don't come around here anymore?

With all due respect, I'm afraid I must call BS on this: "Posts aren't deleted unless they violate the forum rules and usually that is because of hateful words and content. another common reason is spam." My first post in this thread contained none of that, and the only reason I can see that it was removed was because I disagreed with some of the statements made by Bluescat. And I have seen other posts by well-respected members of the VoIP community removed as well. On the other hand, perhaps the most sarcastic putdown posted so far in this thread got marked as a "Best Answer."

However, there is another problem with this thread, and that is the lack of response to questions asked. For example, in the very first post, Dave (who signs his messages as "Dave - Google Voice Team") says,

"Additionally, at a higher level, the new Google Voice VoIP service is standards compliant and should work with many third party solutions."

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities](#)

VoIP user

5/29/18 9:22 AM

Unfortunately the dangling question there is, what standards is it compliant with? And where are those standards available? If we don't know the answers to those questions, it's going to be pretty difficult for any third party solutions to appear. But when you ask direct question about things like that in this thread, no one responds. We understand that it's some form of SIP, but it's not "SIP as we know it" in the VoIP world. If it were traditional SIP then you'd be able to use just about any VoIP adapter made in the last 15 years or so, and PBX software would have no problem connecting. So either Google has made some extensions to the SIP format, in which case they must have some documentation available somewhere (that perhaps up until now has only been provided to Obihai?) or they are using someone else's proposed standard, in which case there should be documentation somewhere that explains it. Dave, all we are asking is, if "the new Google Voice VoIP service is standards compliant and should work with many third party solutions", then please tell us where to find those standards so those third party solutions can be developed.

Now let's see how long it takes for this to be deleted...

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Red Leatherman 5/29/18 9:40 AM Bluescat nor I have the ability nor the authority to delete anyone's posts.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Time4Movem ent 5/29/18 10:42 AM Red. Moving on from that. I wholeheartedly agree with VoIP user. The main concern is that no questions are being answered. Will Google Voice sip be an open platform for anyone to connect to, or will it be a closed proprietary system whose information will only be available to companies like obihai? Based on the lack of response in this forum it seems like the latter is true.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) wardmundy 5/29/18 10:51 AM Re: "Posts aren't deleted unless they violate the forum rules and usually that is because of hateful words and content. another common reason is spam."

Almost spit out my coffee on that one. Now THAT'S FUNNY!

This is an announcement post, specifically and only related to the depreciation of **XMPP** protocol support.

You are welcome to start a discussion with the other forum members about Google Voice using the SIP platform.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Red Leatherman 5/29/18 2:16 PM I don't know if you will receive any participation from a Google employee but you might meet some of your fellow forum members that understand it that can help you develop something new.

Please use the **NEW TOPIC** or **NEW QUESTION** link at the top of the page.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) wardmundy 5/30/18 4:50 AM For those of us that didn't just fall off the turnip truck, diverting readers to other topics is the oldest trick in the book for changing the subject and curtailing a discussion that you don't happen to like. And, by the way, the word you are struggling to find is "deprecation" not "depreciation." Look it up.

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities](#) Red Leatherman 5/30/18 6:04 AM The trick is to keep the thread on topic and the idea that it could be a focused discussion.

Many of the posts are off topic

wardmundy, spellcheck may have incorrectly fixed something for me But your post is focused on flaming and derailing the thread rather than being on topic and is one more reason for me suggesting a thread dedicated to discussing how to use SIP with Google Voice.

Over ten years ago I started using GrandCentral

About 9 years ago I joined this forum and participated in the longest thread ever on this forum concerning how to use SIP with Google Voice with a regular ATA or any SIP client.

I can still use that method.

But that method has since been forgotten by most since Obihai developed an ATA with firmware that hooked into the **XMPP** backbone of chat, simplifying the setup with their device to a few clicks.

There was no discussion that derailed the thread and it stayed on topic and much was learned.

Now that Google Voice is finally using SIP, I see that it is going to be much easier to use with a regular ATA and other configurations that are compatible with SIP than it has in many years but it may take someone who is willing and able to figure it out to take their time to explain it to the rest.

I don't know why Obihai stopped updating their firmware, it may be a hardware limitation or maybe they just don't want to but note that the obi 100 series ATA's are still SIP clients.

I suggest that those interested in discussing ways to make this work for them, start a dedicated thread.

This one is derailed so I'll move on.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cZINNSjsELs>

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#) wardmundy
[PInteropCapabilities](#)

5/30/18 7:14 AM

Red: Just wanted to say that many of us have been around since the Grand Central and early Google Voice days. In fact, I encouraged Craig Walker to switch to SIP which, in fact, Google did for a brief period. I also worked with Sherman Scholten at Obihai when he was first implementing Google Voice on the early OBi devices. So... many of us have been around the block for a very long time, and you can find dozens of articles about Obihai and Google Voice on Nerd Vittles if you are interested. What is particularly troubling about the discontinuation of **XMPP** support is the complete lack of notice to people

who have depended upon Google Voice as their communications platform for as long as a decade. Very few know about this forum. Despite your suggestion that Google is switching to open source SIP, that's not quite right. It's Google's version of SIP which is undocumented and incompatible with SIP open standards as they exist today. I join you in hoping Google will be more transparent about all of this before they pull the plug on **XMPP**.

"The trick is to keep the thread on topic and the idea that it could be a focused discussion.

Many of the posts are off topic"

OK Red (and others from Google)

I've done as you suggest, perhaps you and the others who seem to know what is going on will go to this thread

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#)

bill_jackson

5/30/18 10:32 AM

https://productforums.google.com/forum/?utm_medium=email&utm_source=footer#!top/ic/voice/Psj4Zd-hRpM;context-place=forum/voice

and help us understand what the position from Google will be on alternatives to **XMPP** for third party services and devices

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#)

bill_jackson

5/30/18 10:36 AM

yesterday I got a reply that apparently has been deleted that claimed that those third party services were unsupported and unalloyed and google had no intention to allow people in through **XMPP**.

And although I do agree that they may well have been unsupported, I disagree that they had no intention of allowing people in through that port. The evidence I would give you is that Google posted their specific extensions to **XMPP** that they used in voice and documentation on how to use them. (see a post by me above for a link)

A company that wanted to keep people out would not have posted, and continue to have posted, documentation on how to use something.

If they're deprecating **XMPP** and closing this down for business reasons, that's cool. let us know that the new VoIP protocols will be closed and only available to the select few that Google choses. I would think that Google wasn't doing that, but hey you never know.

Red, you said:

"About 9 years ago I joined this forum and participated in the longest thread ever on this forum concerning how to use SIP with Google Voice with a regular ATA or any SIP client. I can still use that method. "

have you verified that this method still works recently? if that's the case then maybe there is an answer for current **XMPP** users?

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities** bill_jackson 5/30/18 11:55 AM

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities** wardmundy 5/30/18 12:05 PM

<http://productforums.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/c0Ege8uBAQAJ>

VoIP user 6/2/18 2:34 AM

Ended in March, 2009 if I'm not mistaken. <http://nerdvittles.com/?p=597>

<This message has been deleted.>

**Re: UpdateregardingXMP
PInteropCapabilities** Mark deleon 6/3/18 10:53 PM

Wow I just found this out . I guess there was not much warning. I do agree with J9999zzzz. But he neglected the proper phrases . "Planned obsolescence" and "consumerism" are the keywords here. At a time when our environment is falling apart manufacturers are taking us on a ride with their planned obsolescence and consumerism so that we may create more plastic disposable garbage to end up in the ocean and kill all the fish in the sea and the birds in the air.

Shame on Polycom Obahi and most of all GOOGLE. If Google does this and does not allow services like simonics Google voice gateway and asterisk to continue to operate it only reinforces my point that Google

and Polycom want us to buy more garbage to throw away in 4 years so they can sell us an upgraded unit for compatibility with what will come out later. I think it would be fair to say that these companies are engaging in planned obsolescence thereby generating unnecessary plastic waste and should be fined banned and shunned.

Google wants to make money then okay license it. I doubt that will happen it seems that Polycom,/Obahi has an exclusive. This only puts the nail on the coffin of Google Voice in my opinion. Polycom will alienate asterisk users they will move to other brands

I say Boycott ! I would never then buy another Polycom phone nor recommend it to any client. I will warn clients to steer clear of Google as well.

i guess Polycom got to Google . I have SIP hardware that is over 12 years that still works and I use daily. One of these is a Polycom but there are others too like Cisco, uniden, and others . This means that companies like Polycom and Google will force us into junking hardware at a fraction of its true lifespan only so we can buy the newer model to maintain compatibility.

This is nonsense what they are doing but it is also environmentally insane given our current world microplastic in the ocean situation. If you do not believe me ask Yahoo or Bing (not

Google) as they will soon be hiding those kinds of results once they start engaging in it. Just like they will probably delete this post but the post about the horse and buggy remains here multiple times.

It doesn't really bother me that Google has made a business decision based on what is good for their business. what bothers me is that they say things like:

"Additionally, at a higher level, the new Google Voice VoIP service is standards compliant and should work with many third party solutions."

in a hit-and-run post and then never back that up with actions.

Google, let your customers know what you are doing and if your intent is to have an open platform that other third parties can use or if your intent is to have the only device that connects to GV be the Polycom/Obihai device. Then the rest of us can, after a bit of bitching and moaning, move on and find other solutions that work for us.

I can, however say this. Starting on 6/18 instead of my google voice phone number ringing on my Asterisk hosted Cisco IP phone it will be forwarded to my non-Android mobile phone. This will take a cost of terminating my call from \$0 to something that is more than \$0. Every call, every day, all the time. and I know that I'm not the only one who is going to do this, many of the Asterisk users will likely do the same. I'm sure you can figure out how many people have the Google Voice/Motif trunk software installed, I'm sure it's not a small number.

I don't really see how this is better for you, but hey, you're making the decisions.

The Original Post says

"If you use Google Voice with a supported device using the **XMPP interop** capability, please contact your vendor to determine the best migration path to avoid a service disruption."

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#)
[PInteropCapabilities](#) bill_jackson 6/4/18 7:03 AM

[Re: UpdateregardingXMP](#) Markosjal 6/4/18 7:27 AM
[PInteropCapabilities](#)

But Google is not breathing a word about HOW a service provider can maintain compatibility it seems. It's not like I or Bill Simons can send an email to Google and ask. There is nowhere other than here that I am aware of and getting a straight answer still is not happening.

Issue with using Google Home with Google Voice	Edwin infante Leocadio	6/6/18 8:13 AM	<This message has been deleted.>
Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities	Cheese Mouse	6/6/18 8:19 AM	What does this have to do with XMPP ?
I have medical practice so patients need to be able to reach me	DrTabatha	6/6/18 8:25 AM	<This message has been deleted.>
http://productforums.google.com/d/msg/voice/NYRy5U31o98/HACZhFTPAgAJ	Cheese Mouse	6/6/18 8:26 AM	<This message has been deleted.>
Re: I have medical practice so patients need to be able to reach me	DrTabatha	6/6/18 8:28 AM	<This message has been deleted.>
Re: I have medical practice so patients need to be able to reach me	DrTabatha	6/6/18 8:29 AM	<This message has been deleted.>
Re: I have medical practice so patients need to be able to reach me	DrTabatha	6/6/18 9:47 AM	<This message has been deleted.>

What this has to do with **XMPP** is this. In less than two weeks, Google has quietly announced that they're doing away with **XMPP** support for Google Voice. So... about 3.5 million people who are unaware of this thread are going to find themselves up sh*t creek without a paddle. Translation: no working phone service. The (ignored) plea has been that Google document how their (non-standard) SIP implementation for Google Voice will work after that date so that these users don't lose their communications capability. Ironically, this will prompt most current users to forward their Google Voice numbers to their cellphones which, in turn, will result in Google's operating costs for Google Voice skyrocketing because of termination fees from the cell phone providers.

Agree with you wardmundy... I was responding to some other irrelevant posts that have since been moved away to other threads.

Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities	wardmundy	6/6/18 1:14 PM	
Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities	Cheese Mouse	6/6/18 1:19 PM	
Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities	bill_jackson	6/6/18 3:15 PM	

" Ironically, this will prompt most current users to forward their Google Voice

numbers to their cellphones which, in turn, will result in Google's operating costs for Google Voice skyrocketing because of termination fees from the cell phone providers."

Yup, this is exactly what I'm going to do until I figure out something different to replace my Asterisk based desk phone system that is now connected to Google Voice.

But when you ask for an answer on the documentation you get crickets from the Google team.

<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	Bluescat	6/6/18 3:27 PM	Please adhere to Forum guidelines. No personal attacks. Keep it polite and on-topic.
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	bill_jackson	6/6/18 3:30 PM	confused - which reply and which comment do you think has gone off the rails?
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	Bluescat	6/6/18 3:33 PM	The repeated personal attacks and claims about me by the user who just deleted his posts.
<u>I have medical practice and patients can't reach me</u>	DrTabatha	6/7/18 3:01 PM	<This message has been deleted.>
<u>Re: UpdateregardingXMP PInteropCapabilities</u>	Roger Bos	6/12/18 7:33 AM	Very interesting thread. I have used GV since before Obi existed and so have saved a ton on phone costs. Even when my Obi 110 stops working, it will have paid for itself many times over. I have paid GV very little is international calling fees since using it, so I can't really complain when they make changes to their (basically) free product. I wish Bill Simons the best and hope he can figure out how to make my Obi 110 keep working past June 18th, but if he doesn't I will have to live with that. I do wonder what Google means by standards compliant. If their service is compliant with the standard, maybe we don't even need special documentation. If it was SIP compliant (what other standard is there) should the Obi 110 work fine as it can connect to a standard SIP connection. I don't understand that, but I also don't understand why Google is willing to pay all the termination fees for a free service. And why provide a service that allows Obihai/Polycom to profit with none of the expense? What is in it for Google?

[More topics »](#)